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MDCCCLXXVII.
A LETTER to the Reverend D' PERCY, concerning a new edition of Don Quijote.

At length, Dear Sir, I take the liberty thus publicly to acquaint you with the result of my labours on our favourite writer CERVANTES. I particularly address myself to you, as you are so conversant in every branch of polite literature, most especially that which has engrossed so much of my time and attention.

Don Quijote a classic. The creation of indexes.

From the commencement of my intimacy with the text of Don Quijote, I was induced to consider the great author as a classic, and to treat him as such. With this view I had the courage to begin and, what is more, the perseverance to finish two most copious verbal indexes to both parts of that celebrated work, on transcribing which it seemed altogether right to sever the proper names of men, places, and other remarkable things, and to make them distinct parts. This has also been done, with this farther addition, that where the name occurs in both parts, the whole is to be found in the former, so that every particular respecting any person, city, mountain, or whatever else is mentioned by the author from ancient and modern history, may be perused together. Thus, for instance, Amadís de Gaula, whom the knight more immediately intended for the grand object of his imitation, besides the introductory verses ascribed to him occurs in fourteen different chapters in the first, and in eight in the second part. The citations will abundantly gratify the pursuits of the most diligent searcher. The several articles under this head, you will probably be surprised to hear, are in number above one thousand, notwithstanding nothing is said of that odious and detestable character of the intolerant Eclesiástico, who is justly held up as an object of contempt and ridicule, and therefore deservedly anonymous. But notwithstanding this, every passage relating to him may be easily found in the
words *eclesiástico* and *religioso*, in the general index.*

The need for annotations.

From this minute survey the necessity of a comment and annotations becomes apparent, as it is of very little use to read what we do not understand. This will be found to be the case in many instances as to the text, which in many places is very obscure. In others our ideas must be very imperfect, and frequently defective, from the want of that collateral assistance which is to be derived from history, from the romances, and other books which, if Cervantes did not immediately consult, will be found to contain matter that will throw light on various passages in his work.

Of some great events which happened in his own time he is to be considered as the original historian. Such were the battle of Lepanto, the taking of the Goleta (I, 39 and 42), and the expulsion of the Moors from Spain, with several lesser incidents to which he alludes, many of which will be verified by the concurrent testimony of other contemporary writers, and will occasionally appear in the notes.

Romances of chivalry present customs of the time they were written.

It is not at present my intention to trouble you with extracts from those *libros de caballerías*, the romances which, by your assistance, I have had the patience to toil through, but shall in general observe that the secondary amends for the drudgery of such painful reading has been what Monsieur de St. Palaye and other French writers on the history of chivalry have remarked: the faithful and exact descriptions of the manners and customs of the times in which they were wrote. Though the facts related were in themselves as fabulous as the heroes of whom they were told, yet similar events frequently occurred. In this respect they deserve some esteem as histories, because these latter enumerate several facts similar to those they particularly mention. Thus, for example, the watching of arms in some church or chapel previous to the receiving the order of knighthood, with its various ceremonies of

*As words will but imperfectly convey an idea of this laborious undertaking, specimens of each will, in a proper place, be added.*
creation, the hearing mass and confession before the day of battle, with other religious circumstances, are to be found both in history and romance, though perhaps more frequently and more copiously in the latter. And here, when the same fact occurs in almost every writer, we may safely infer that such practice was universal. Thus the parting of the sun betwixt the combatants, that it might not affect the one more than the other (Quijote II, 56), and which will be shown at large in the notes, was constantly in use. As general was that specimen of knightly courtesy used by Quijote, of leading the duchess her horse by the bridle: “de puro cortés y comedido tomó la rienda de su palafrén” (II, 34). This was a very ancient custom, and continued long in use. It most probably began with the clergy, for Pepin, King of France, with his son Charlemagne, and his other children, besides prostrating themselves at the feet of Pope Stephen, led his mule by the reins as he approached Paris. This happened after the middle of the eighth century. So don Fernando, King of Aragon, who distinguished himself by his extraordinary attachment and civility to the Anti-Pope Benedict the Thirteenth, led the horse on which he rode under a canopy to the church at Morella in Valencia. This happened in the year 1414, as we are informed by Mariana (Libro 20, cap. 6). So, the same historian tells us, Henry King of Castile treated his sister the infanta Doña Isabel, the wife of the same king, who was afterwards his successor, in Segovia, in 1474: “la infanta salió a pasear por las calles de la ciudad en un palafrén, que él mismo tomó de las riendas, para más honrralla.”

But what is most to our purpose, is the continuance of it to our author’s own time. Margaret of Austria, queen of Philip the Third, when she made her public entry into Valencia, left her coach and mounted her hackney, which, in place of reins, had two long cords of red silk and gold, and these were held by some nobles and

\footnote{“Toutefois celui jour au matin Rolland, devant que partir pour aller combattr, s’était confessé et avoir reçu le sacrement de l’autel (car la coutume des gens de l’est de Charlemagne était telle) que devant que entrer en bataille ils confessaient et recevaient leur rédempteur, et le nôtre.” Bouchard, Annales de Bretagne (Paris, 1531), f. 606.}

\footnote{Peronniana, 147.}
principal lords of the kingdom, and by certain officers of high rank and distinction. “La hacanea de la reina tenía dos cordones largos de seda colorada y oro, que servían como de riendas, y éstos los llevaban de una parte los varones y señores principales del reino, y de la otra los oficiales que llaman del quitamiento.”

It is natural for writers to specify customs and fashions that generally prevail in their own times. These necessarily fall from their pens, accidentally and unintentionally. What has suggested this reflection is the naming of Milan in one or two places as the scene of dress and gallantry. The captive mentions (I, 39) his setting off from Genoa to furnish himself with arms, and some fine clothes as a soldier: “llegué con próspero viaje a Génoa; fui desde allí a Milán, donde me acomodé de armas, y de algunas galas de soldado.” It is to our purpose, and worthy of notice, that this city preceded France and led the van in the articles of fashion, sumptuous and gaudy apparel, jewelry, and pompous luxury in various shapes, before her European neighbours. As early as the famed interview between Henry the Eighth and Francis the First, at Guisnes, at one of the masques there, ten ladies were attired after the fashion of Millaine, in rich tissue and cloth of silver raised, parted, travers, and ruffled sleeves, with foresleeves pendant, knit with points of gold, and cowls or coifs of gold piped, and Millaine bonnets of crimson satin drawn through with cloth of gold. Two years after, at one of Wolsey’s banquets, “eight ladies had Millian gowns of white satin; on their heads, cowls and Millian bonnets of gold with jewels.” Though these last citations affect female dress alone, yet it is certain that the men’s was also influenced from the same quarter. Meteren, the Flemish historian, informs us that Count Horn had on at his execution a cloth bonnet of Milan. Please to turn to Quijote II, 23, and you will find the same head

1 Segunda Parte de Guzmán de Alfarache, por Mateo Luján de Sayavedra (Bruselas, 1604), p. 347.
2 This is precisely the idea of Cervantes’s oro de canutillo (I, 31).
3 Halle’s Chronicle, H. 8, fol. 83, ad an. 1521.
4 Ibid., fol. 92.
5 Hist. Belgica, ad an. 1568. [Emanuel van Meteren, Historia Belgica nostri potissimum temporis an annum vsque 1598; also available in French and English.]
gear given to Montesinos: “cubríale la cabeza una gorra milanesa negra.” Covarrubias minutely describes this, and it will most properly appear in the comment. The state of Milan having been so long under the dominion of Spain, easily accounts for this usage.

The ancient European chivalry had many rites and customs in common, but the several states and kingdoms had divers peculiar to themselves, and totally different from one another. In England, the clergy had the power of making knights, as appears from Ingulphus, and that the Normans abhorred this custom, and looked on those so made as dastards and degenerate. However they afterwards gave into the same, for William Rufus was knighted by Lanfranc, Archbishop of Canterbury, in the life of his father, and his brother Henry the First granted the same privilege to the abbots of Reading, as it appears from his foundation charter. But possibly this species of church power was not peculiar to England, for Matthew of Westminster tells us that Walwanus, King of Norway, was knighted by Pope Vigilius in 533. But anciently there was ever a great intercourse betwixt knights and the clergy. The swords, arms, and banners of the former were consecrated by the clergy. To both, fasting and abstinence from meats were alike enjoined by the Council at Avranches in 1172.

The learned Monsieur de LaCurne de Sainte-Palaye, in his Mémoires sur l’ancienne chevalerie, has amassed a number of curious particulars respecting the general institute of knighthood, and has given a succinct history of it, but it must be remembered that his work is the work of a Frenchman. His inferences are formed and his knowledge of facts is almost, if not wholly, derived from the writers and historians of his own country, to which, and for whose

---

1 Ad an. 1066. P. 70 Ed. Galei.
2 M. Paris P. 14.
3 Parker, ad an. 1050. 158,9.
4 See Hoveden, 394. [A reference to the Annals of Roger de Hoveden.]
5 [On LaCurne, his Mémoires and his role in stimulating interest in medieval literature, see Lionel Gossman, Medievalism and the Ideologies of the Enlightenment; The World and Work of LaCurne de Sainte-Palaye (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1968).]
use principally, he bent the whole of his pursuits. This then will by no means be found to be a proper guide for us in forming a judgment of our illustrious hero Quijote, as a Spaniard cannot with any propriety be called before a French tribunal.

*A Spanish legal source: The Partidas.*

Let us inquire, then, if his own country affords no laws by which to try him. Luckily she does; and, I am afraid, by them he will be found to be a culprit, and to have erred fundamentally. These are *Las siete partidas* del sabio rey don Alfonso el nono. These are the laws of Castille in Spanish, which were begun to be reduced into one system by order of the king don Fernando el Santo, and were completed in the time of his son Alfonso the Tenth, surnamed the Wise, and made public in his name. They were called *Partidas* on account of their being divided into seven volumes; there being seven letters in Alfonso’s name, was the sole cause of this division. These partitions, or volumes, are again divided into several principal titles which contain the several laws. Thus Partida II, Title 21 has no less than twenty-five respecting knights—“de los caballeros”—and among these the eleventh specifies in whose hands was vested the power of making knights, and who could not. From them we learn that they could not be created by one who was no knight himself, that no clergyman or religious person had this power. I fancy Quijote’s knighthood upon this view of things is upon no better footing than Andrew’s master, Juan Haldudo’s, in the fourth chapter, as the innkeeper did not really pretend to any such honour.

Another flagrant violation occurs I, 11, where Quijote forces Sancho to sit by him, and to eat and drink with him, telling him that knighthood puts all upon an equality. “Ni al comer,” says the twenty-third [title], “non debe asentarse con ellos escudero, ni otro ninguno, sino caballero, o ome que lo mereciese por su honra o por su bondad.” This title contains several curious particulars which

---

1. V. Covarrubias.
2. “Fechos non pueden ser los caballeros por mano de ome que caballero non sea.” “Otro de el clérigo, nin ome de religión, non tovieron que podrían hacer caballeros.” Part. 2, Tit. 21, Ley xi.
will greatly illustrate the history of the renowned knight of La Mancha, and their merit will best appear when confronted with the text.

As Cervantes was master of all the learning of his own country, as well as that of Italy, he could not be unacquainted with this body of laws, and wherever his hero deviates from any precepts here laid down, it was doubtless with design to heighten the ridicule of his character. In this however he is eccentric, though at other times perfectly conformable. We have a notable instance of this in a conversation of his with his squire. “Tell me,” says he to him, “what greater content can be had in the world, or what pleasure can be equal to that of conquering in battle, and to that of triumphing over one’s enemy? Without doubt, not any” (I, 18). For knights hold it, says the nineteenth law, that no pleasure they could have, was so good as to be conquerors.

Let this specimen under the First Part suffice. In the second, chapter the thirty-fourth, after the hunting the wild boar, and the injury done his green coat, Sancho expresses his dislike to kings and princes exposing themselves to the dangers of such chases. The duke tells him of his error, that the exercise of hunting is more convenient and necessary for kings and princes than for any other, that the chase is an image of war. Let us for the present make our farewell visit to don Alfonso, Partida 2, Title 5, “Cuál debe el rey ser en sus obras,” ley 20, “Cómo el rey debe ser mañoso en cazar.” And there we are told, “que conviene esta mucho a los reyes, más que a otros omes. E esto por tres razones: La primera por alongar su vida, &c. La segunda, porque la caza es arte e sabiduría de guerrear e de vencer, de lo que deben los reyes ser mucho sabidores.”

Much knowledge respecting the general manners of the thirteenth, in which these laws were promulged, and preceding centuries is to be derived from the perusal of them. Many of the customs continued for ages after: nay, the present king of Spain shows a more than common regard to the particular law of the chase. The Valencia edition of this work, with a copious index, was handsomely and correctly printed, in eight octavo volumes, in

* “Ca caballeros tenían que ningund vicio, que haber podiesen, non era tan bueno como ser vencedores.”
1758.

*A Spanish source on knights and knighthood.*

But the article of knights and knighthood, which naturally gave rise to the mention of it, has been historically treated by more than one writer in Spain. The principal under this head that has come to my possession is El Doctor don Joseph Micheli Márquez, the Sicilian, in his *Tesoro militar de caballería* en Madrid, 1642. We have here many examples of the ancient and modern forms of arming and professing the knights, agreeable to the ceremonials of the several orders: their rules, their constitutions, privileges, and grants; their ensigns, habits, origin, and conclusion of many of them: in a word, variety of information concerning them, with difficulty elsewhere to be met with. From whence I infer that knighthood, in its various forms, participates more or less of the *andante* or errant, and the laying the sword on the novel knight’s shoulder is nothing more than the host’s *gentil espaldarazo* on Quijote. Whatever is mentioned in the different parts of the work on this head will be historically ascertained by corroborating passages from this and from other writers who have expressly or accidentally treated this subject, and whom it is not material to specify.

*Cervantes knew the work of Miguel de Luna.*

The *Historia verdadera del rey don Rodrigo, por el sabio Alcaide Albucácim Tarif Abentarique,* will afford us some curious matter. We are told by Miguel de Luna that he completed the translation of the first part of this work from the Arabic, November 30, 1589. That it became popular is most probable. I have this printed in quarto en Granada, 1592. The second was published there in 1600. Both in Zaragoza, in 1603, and in Valencia, 1606. It abounds with the phrases and much of the diction of Cervantes, who has with great humour ridiculed a circumstance gravely related (I, 7) of a Christian woman taken by sentinels of the captain Tarif Abenziet, who, on being brought into his presence, informed him that she had heard her father read “un pronóstico, el cual decía que esta tierra la habían de perder los cristianos, y que había de ser conquistada de los moros. Y decía más que el capitán que la había de ganar,
Cervantes

había de ser valeroso y fuerte, y para señal de su conocimiento había de tener un lunar peloso tan grande como un garbanzo sobre el hombro de la mano derecha. Acabadas de decir estas razones por aquella mujer, Tarij se holgó mucho, y en presencia de todos los suyos se desnudó; y habiendo mirado con cuidado hallaron el lunar que la mujer había dicho.” Who can entertain a doubt that Cervantes intended a banter on this piece of history, in what Dorotea relates to Quijote of the prophecy of her father concerning him who was to be her deliverer (I, 30)? He said besides that he was to be tall in his body, dry-faced, and that on his right side under his left shoulder, or thereabout, he was to have un lunar pardo de ciertos cabellos a manera de cerdas, a gray mole with some hairs and bristles. On hearing this Don Quijote said to his Squire, “Hold here, Son Sancho, help me to strip; for I wish to see if I am the knight, that this wise king foretold.” “Now, why would your worship strip?” said Dorotea. “To see if I have this mole, which your father mentioned,” answered Don Quijote. “You need not strip,” said Sancho, “for I know that your worship has a mole of these marks on the middle of the backbone, which is a mark of a strong man.” “This is enough,” said Dorotea, “for with friends we must not look upon trifles, and whether it be in the shoulder, or on the backbone, is of little consequence; ’tis enough that he has a mole.”

Whatever respects the Conde don Julián and his daughter La Cava is here delineated, and possibly from a passage respecting the Moorish King Abilgualit (Part 2, Chap. 2), of whom it is said, that he never eat [sic] or drank out of any vessel of gold or silver—”ni se llamaba un médico a ordenarle la comida”—nor called a physician to order his diet. He took the hint of Doctor Pedro Recio de Tirteafuera, who was so troublesome to Sancho in his government.

The Crónica del...Cid

Various illustrations are also to be derived from the Crónica del famoso caballero Cid Ruy Díaz Campeador. The history of the horse Babieca will better appear in an annotation than in any other mode. The same may in a great measure be said of the traitor Vellido, who is named in the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth chapters of the First Part; but as his history is blended with that of
don Diego Ordóñez de Lara, in the second, Chap. 27, it may not be amiss to have recourse to the last-named history: and there we read, Chap. 66, “De cómo don Diego Ordóñez hizo el riepto a los de Zamora sobre la muerte del rey don Sancho. E respondió don Diego Ordóñez: ‘Los castellanos han perdido su señor, e matóle el traidor de Vellido seyendo su vasallo, y vos los de Zamora acogisteislo en la villa. E por ende digo que es traidor quien traidor tiene consigo, si sabe de la traición e si lo consiente. E por ende riepto a los de Zamora también al grande como al chico, e al muerto como al vivo, e así al nacido como el que es por nacer. E riepto las aguas e rieptoles el pan e rieptoles el vino.’”

These circumstances Quijote mentions in his harangue to the rebuznadores or braying party. “Hallo,” says he, “según las leyes del duelo, que estáis engañados en teneros por afrentados, porque ningún particular puede afrentar a un pueblo entero, sino es retándole de traidor por junto, porque ignoraba que sólo Vellido Dolfos había cometido la traición de matar a su rey; y así retó a todos, y a todos tocaba la venganza y la respuesta. Aunque bien es verdad que el señor don Diego anduvo algo demasiado, y aun pasó muy adelante de los límites del reto, porque no tenía que retar a los muertos, a las aguas, ni a los panes, ni a los que estaban por nacer, ni a las otras menudencias que allí se declaran.”

In the beginning of the thirty-fourth chapter, the Duchess makes Sancho sit by her on a low seat, and tells him that “merecía el mismo escaño del Cid Ruy Díaz Campeador.” The Cid seems to have had a whimsical regard and attention to this utensil, and his chronicler has mentioned it as often, if not oftener, than his horse Babieca. I think I have rightly rendered the word, as will appear from the use he made of it. “E el Cid” (says the Crónica) “había por costumbre de comer a mesa alta en su cabo asentado en su escaño” (Chap. 196). “E desque había comido adormecíase a las veces en el escaño” (Chap. 230). “Era muy noble y muy sutil de labor, e estaba cubierto de paños de oro muy ricos” (Chap. 248). “E el Rey dijo,’ no sé rey en el mundo que mas merezca este escaño que el Cid mi vasallo. E éste ganó él en Valencia’” (Chap. 249). From this last citation it appears that the Duchess paid Sancho no small compli-
Santiago, mentioned in the Crónica del...Cid.

I know of no writer who says so much of the Caballero Sant Yago—the Knight St. James—as the present chronicle. "Acaeció que un obispo estando en su iglesia de Santiago, faciendo su oración en su vigilia, oyó a los de la villa y a los romeros, que venían y a romería, que Santiago que apareció como caballero en las lides, e en las ayudas de los cristianos. E cuando lo él oyó, pesóle mucho, e dijo: 'Amigos, no le llamedes caballero, mas pescador.' E teniendo esta porfía, plugo a Dios que se adurmio, e aparecióle Santiago, e díjole: 'tú tienes por escarnio porque me llaman caballe-ro, e dices que lo non so. Por esto vine agora a ti a mostrárteme, porque jamás non dudes en mi caballería, ca soy caballero de Jesucristo, e ayudador de los cristianos contra los moros.' E él diciéndole esto, fuele traido un caballo muy blanco, e el apóstol Santiago cabalgó en el, muy bien guarnido de todas armas, frescas, claras y muy hermosas a guisa de caballero" (Chap. 14).

Now let us take a view of our knight, who, among his other adventures, II, 58, discovered the image of the patron of Spain—de las Españas—on horseback, his sword ensanguined, trampling on Moors, and walking on their heads. And on seeing it, Don Quixote said, "This indeed is a knight—es caballero—of the squadrons of Christ. This they call Don San Diego Matamoros, Don Saint James the Moorkiller, one of the most valiant saints and knights that the world ever had, and heaven now has. And many times they have seen him visibly overturning, trampling upon, and destroying the Moorish squadrons; and of this truth I could produce many instances, which are related in the true Spanish histories." Thus far the hero of the piece proceeds.

This saint’s assistance in the battles of the Spaniards, and his services, therein are recorded in the chronicles of Spain that were composed by order of the king don Alonso [sic] el Sabio, and printed in Zamora, 1541, fol. 232. And Mariana, to humour his countrymen, tells the same story, libro 9, cap. 2; libro 12, cap. 15 & al. To these respectable testimonies may be added what Rivadeneira has advanced of this saint in his Flos sanctorum.

[In the original, “fue el traido.”]
A local allusion.

The above-named chronicle mentions the king don Alonso the Sixth his ordering the palaces of Galiana to the use of the Cid for his place of residence in Toledo, cap. 247. You will please to recollect that Sancho, among his melancholy reveries in the pit (II, 55), observes that his master would regard those depths and dungeons as flowery gardens and palaces of Galiana: “tuviera estas profundidades y mazmorras por jardines floridas, y por palacios de Galiana.” On having recourse to a description of this city by Doctor Francisco de Pisa, printed there in 1617, after much being said of these, there is this remark: “Volviendo a los palacios de Toledo, el vulgo llama palacios de Galiana a una casa que está ya casi asolada, en la Huerta del Rey: mas, a la verdad, aquélла era una casa de campo y recreación, con sus baños, en la cual dicen que la misma Galiana se deleitaba.” Many passages concerning this city, which occur in Cervantes, will receive illustration from this quarter. Navagero, in his Viaggio in Ispagna, notices this part of the city, and an ancient palace there in ruins.

A historical allusion.

Local, poetical, and historical allusions are occasionally interspersed through the whole work. Thus, when Quijote has finished the adventure of the lions, II, 17, the author apostrophizes to him, and addresses him “segundo y nuevo don Manuel de Leon, que fue gloria y honra de los espanoles caballeros.” There is abundant testimony of the valour of this Knight. He makes a distinguished figure in the Civil Wars of Granada, where much concerning his prowess is to be read; nothing however to cause him to be here named in preference to any other of his countrymen. That it is not mentioned at random, but with the strictest propriety, will appear from what is recorded of him by Alonso López de Haro in his Nobiliario genealógico. In the first volume, p. 200, he tells us that he


[En Madrid, 1622, T. 2.]

[A reference to the work of Ginés Pérez de Hita.]
was called “el valiente, honra y gloria de la nación española.” In the second, he relates, p. 118, the following piece of history, altogether pertinent to this of Cervantes. “Fue de los caballeros cortedanos en gala y bizarría, que se hallaban en la corte deste católico príncipe, del cual escriben que habiéndole traído de África un presente de leones muy bravos, con quien las damas de la reina se entretenían, mirando desde un corredor que salía a la parte donde estaban los leones, en cuyo sitio se hallaba don Manuel.” A este tiempo sucedió que la dama a quien servía, por descuido o por bizarría dejó caer un guante en la leonera, dando muestras de queja de habérsele caído, y como don Manuel lo oyese, abrió la puerta de la leonera con mucha presteza, entró dentro con grande ánimo y valor donde los leones estaban, sacando al guante y llevándole a la dama.” This truly quixotic action of don Manuel attracted the encomiums of the Spanish poets Garci Sánchez de Badajoz (as it is related by de Haro, who also adds that the historians are large in their accounts of this famous and celebrated captain) and don Jerónimo de Urrea, who commemorates this act in a stanza which he has inserted in his translation of the Orlando furioso into Spanish.

Other heroes.

From tome the first of de Haro’s above recited work, p. 422, we learn that Pedro Rodríguez de Luna [sic] was the author of a book, Del paso honroso de Suero de Quiñones, whose jousts there were mentioned by Quijote, I, 49. A farther account of them is to be had from the Crónica de don Juan el Segundo, to whom must be added Zurita, and they will be elucidated in the notes. Much is said by de Haro of the Marqués de Santa Cruz don Álvaro de Bazán (see parte I, cap. 39), and he enumerates several facts which tally with Cervantes his account of the battle of Lepanto.

Tunis and the Goleta.

The affairs of Tunis and the Goleta are lightly touched here; for a fuller account of these recourse must be had to Italy. There is a small quarto volume on this place, and (what is remarkable)
printed the very year our Author mentions: *Discorso della Goletta, et del Forte di Tunisi, con quello che può forse succedere, quando il Turco tenti tale impresa.* In the title of this rare little tract is a small map, in which are delineated the Goleta with its gulf, the *pequeño fuerte o torre en mitad del estaño*, Tunis and its Fort.

As words convey but poorly the idea of a place, I have sketched this little map, and here inserted it.

![Map of the Goleta and Tunis](image)

If the island situated opposite C. Bono be I. Cimbalo, as seems probable, then from the authority of Luis del Mármol, I can pronounce the long island under C. Saffarano to be Tabarca, mentioned by Cervantes, I, 39.

The round spot in the *stagno*, or lake, is the little fort, or, as the Italian expresses it, “la Goletta Vecchia, Piazza non molto grande.” Cervantes speaks of Gabrio Cerbellon as general of the fort: this possibly, as it was situated between the walls of Tunis and the lake, as appears from this writer, and the plan was an appendage to the government of the city of Tunis, of which we here learn he was left General by Don John of Austria, which is also confirmed by other authority. “L’anno 1572, Gabrio Sorbellone da S. M. fu fatto Vice-Re e Capitan Generale di Tunisi di Barbaria, e de suoi supremi concigli, avendo poi gli Turchi con poderosa armata presa la Goleta, dove fu anche tradimento, assediorno con forze immense.

* A Discourse of the Goletta, and of the Fort of Tunis, with what may possibly happen, when the Turk attempts such an enterprise. In Macerata, appresso Sebastiano Martellini, 1574.

† Sign. C. [Unidentified.]

‡ “La famiglia Serbellona o Sorbellona, dal Sorbo, che mette nell’armi” (*ibid.*).
la nova fortezza fatta erger in qual regno di Tunisi, ma non termi-
nata dal Vice Re, che trovandosi en essa valorosamente ributto el
nemico, sostenendo quattordici assalti generali, e finalmente per
breccia di due mine presero i Turchi a viva forza la piazza con un
essercito innumerabile, restando prigione il medesimo Capitane
Generale, che fu condotto in Constantinopla.” He died in Milan, in
January 1580.†

Mariana the historian.

It is not my intention at present to trouble you with any ex-
tracts from that great ornament to his country Mariana, though he
has several passages for our purpose. As his history has afforded
me much information and satisfaction in the perusal, give me leave
to add to the just elegies of it by Padre Feijoo‡ this testimony: that
though a Spaniard, he held rational, manly notions of liberty, and
though a Jesuit, he has all the appearance of candour and honesty.

Lesser incidents of his time.

There were several lesser incidents in his own time to which
Cervantes alludes, the knowledge of which can be no otherwise
had than from his contemporary writers, or those who soon came
after him. An instance or two will suffice. In a conversation be-
tween Quijote and Sancho concerning relics, the master says, that
kings carry the bodies of saints, or their relics upon their shoulders:
“Los cuerpos de los santos o sus reliquias llevan los reyes sobre sus
hombros” (II, 26). Of this custom we have two examples in the Flos
sanctorum of Rivadeneira. The first, that of the reception of the
relics of Saint Eugenius at Toledo, in the year 1565, in which “entre
muchas cosas señaladas,” that writer tells us, “la más insigne fue
ver al católico rey don Felipe, y al príncipe don Carlos su hijo, y a
los archiduques de Austria Rodolfo, que hoy es emperador, y
Arnesto su hermano llevar sobre sus hombros el arca en que iba el

† Twenty-two according to Cervantes.
‡ Scena d’uomini illustri d’Italia del conte Galeazzo Gualdo Priorato (Venice,
1659).
§ Teatro critico, T. 4, Disc. 8.28—14.51.
cuerpo del santo Pontífice.” The other was also in the same city when the same king and his son paid the same devoirs to the Patroness of it, Santa Leocadia: “llevaron sobre sus hombros el cuerpo de la Santa Virgen.” This was in the year 1586.

Expulsion of the Moors.

Though the expulsion of the Moors from Spain is sufficiently notorious, yet the particular allusions to the conditions of the bando or proclamation are not so. In the course of the morisco Ricote’s conversation with Sancho, he desires his assistance to carry away the treasure which he had buried and left behind him when he was forced to quit Spain, which Sancho refuses, but promises not to discover him. “Mira si quieres venir conmigo, como te he dicho, a ayudarme a sacar el tesoro que dejé escondido.’ ‘Ya te he dicho, Ricote,’ replicó Sancho, ‘que no quiero. Concéntrate, que por mí no serás descubierto” (II, 54). Honest Sancho detested the office of informer, and overlooked that part of the Royal Mandate: “Que cualquiera de los moriscos que esconderie o enterrare ninguna de la hacienda que tuviere por no la poder llevar consigo incurran en la pena de muerte los vecinos del lugar, donde esto sucediere.” This condition extended to the persons of the moriscos, and the ninth mandate directs as follows: “Mandamos que ninguno del presente reino sea osado de ocultar ni encubrir en sus casas, ni fuera dellas, a cualquier persona o personas de los dichos moriscos, así hombres como mujeres, niños o niñas, de cualquier edad y condición que sean.” This is the mandate to which Sancho refers in his discourse to Ricote concerning his daughter a few lines after those cited, where he tells him: “Muchos tuvieron deseo de extenderla y salir a quitársela en el camino, pero el miedo de ir contra el mandado del Rey los detuvo” (II, 54).

The Tesoro of Covarrubias

There is but one writer more that I shall at present mention,

---

1 Flos sanctorum (Barcelona, 1643), tomo 1, [p.] 791. N. B.: of this work, and of Mariana’s history in Spanish, there were several editions in the time of Cervantes.

2 Ib. ib. 867.

3 Memorable expulsión de los moriscos de España (Pamplona, 1613), folio 111.
and to be silent respecting him would be impious. *Pium est profiteri per quem profeceris.* What critical reader is there of Shakespeare, who would not rather wish to consult a good dictionary of our Language, if any such existed, compiled in his time, than all the labours of almost any editor without it? What in this instance is in vain to be wished for, I have the good luck to possess with respect to Cervantes in the *Tesoro de la lengua castellana o española*, por don Sebastián de Covarrubias Orozco, 2 tomos, en Madrid, 1674. I have not only occasionally consulted and carefully read through the whole of this work, but have also transcribed whatever appeared proper to illustrate and to be confronted with the text, and am very clear that here only are to be found the true import and meaning of many parts of Cervantes’s phraseology.

There are two editions of this book: the first in Madrid, in 1611, and the fore-mentioned Baretti’s account of it, and of Spanish literature [in] general, is egregiously defective and erroneous. Father Noydens seems to have been the editor, and if he showed any judgment, it was that of adding to it the learned Aldrete’s *Origen de la lengua castellana*, which was first published in Rome in 1606. But his own additions are trifling, insignificant, and of very little or no worth. Covarrubias laid the foundation of that superstructure that was afterwards with good judgment completed by the Spanish academicians in their *Dictionary*. They own their obligations and pay all due deference to his merit in the Prólogo to their voluminous work, and in their history of their Academia.

But the *Tesoro* is not a dictionary of words alone. It abounds in history, local and personal, and accounts of its national customs are scattered throughout the whole work, and will be made use of where necessary. I shall content myself with one at present, which is furnished from Thomas Cecial’s nose, which, besides being full of warts, was also *de color amoratado, como de berenjena.* Covarrubias observes that he who is much used to the eating of *berenjenas*, besides other mischiefs, its bad quality shows itself in the face by giving it a livid and dark green colour. The reading the whole

*“Of a darkish green colour, like a berengene” (Shelton, II, 14). The berengene is the melongena, *sive malum insanum*, mad apple. [Shelton is the first translator of Don Quijote into English.]*
work became necessary, as will also appear in many places in the
annotations, from many irregularities in the alphabetical arrange-
ment of the whole, which I have reason to think was the cause of
some omissions in the great Dictionary.*

In this latter a meaning is assigned to the words of Cervantes
from his use of them; the former gives us the sense as it was in his
time. It requires no nicety to distinguish which of the two is right.

*The Diccionario de autoridades.

The libros de caballerías. Cervantes’ reading.

Thus, Sir, without launching into what Quijote calls the mare
magnum of his histories, the libros de caballerías, I have given you a
specimen of what may be done towards illustrating this great
author, but from this source much is to be derived, as it is clear that
it was his intention as much as might be to copy their very lan-
guage, imitando en cuanto podia su lenguaje. Numerous examples
under this head I have selected, and where the same were to be
found in many books of this kind, many have been rejected.

That Cervantes was himself the original Quijote as to the article
of reading, that there was a time, perhaps a long period, when
with the undistinguishing multitude of his countrymen he pe-
rused these with great pleasure and satisfaction, and impregnated
his memory with their respective subjects and singularities, seems
unquestionable from the use he made of them. That he was mi-
нутely attentive in his reading them has every appearance of
probability from this circumstance: that speaking of Gasabal, the
Esquire of Galaor, he observes that his name is only once men-
tioned in the history of Amadís de Gaula. Apprized of this, on
perusing the four books I found it to be a fact: he is only men-
tioned, Libro 2, Cap. 59, and is spoken of in two other places, but
is only there named.

The need for an accurate text. The need to use the first editions.

The genuine text of authors of super-eminent abilities has ever,
with good reason, attracted the attention of the curious. Much of
this has of late been given to that of our countryman Shakespeare,
who has no competitor in the article of great original genius, but

* [The Diccionario de autoridades.]
his illustrious contemporary Cervantes. If the Giunta edition of the Decameron of Boccaccio has been ever so generally esteemed as to have been more than once with great niceness counterfeited, if its acknowledged reputation raised the surprise of Paolo Rolli that the other editors had not reprinted to a tittle this edition, and that they had preferred the frivolous vanity of their own orthography, or their caprice in the form of the book, to the just liking of the lovers of this work, his edition ought therefore to be so much more gratefully received, as being a re-impression of the true and most approved text, page by page and line by line, with the same orthography and punctuation. It may be hoped, therefore, that an edition of Don Quijote, executed with equal fidelity in this particular, with others of much apparent utility, which will in due time be specified, may prove equally acceptable. To this end, the first editions must be selected for that purpose.


The only one of modern times that merits any kind of notice is the pompous London edition, a work that reflects great honour on its noble patron. Upon a careful collation of its text with the first, it may be pronounced to be in the general pretty exact. The errors, however, of the original are carefully retained, and such they are, if we had an opportunity to consult the manuscript of the author, and should find them in his own writing. Pineda in that book which he puffed off and published, Fortuna de amor, por Antonio de lo Frasso, took care to inform us that it was he that “revised,
amended, put in good order, and corrected the London edition of *Don Quijote,* a business for which he appears to have been every way unqualified, as will appear in the sequel. It must be observed that in his editorial capacity he acted in some degree with the punctuality of a Hearne,* but not with his openness, who, when he inserted anything notoriously wrong, took care to apprise his reader of it. Let the following suffice: “Con la batalla que el valiente Detriante hizo con el alano” (I, 6); it stands thus in the first and in every other edition. The true reading is undoubtedly “con la batalla que el valiente de Tirante hizo con el alano.” The title of the fifty-ninth chapter of the history of Tirante is “Como Tirante se combatió con un alano &c.” This brings the fact home to him. As to the rest, it is to be observed that there is nothing more than a transposition of the letters.† The particular diction of “valiente de Tirante” is a Spanish idiom. The de is redundant, so we read, I, 36, “El bueno de Sancho,” and I, 50, “a este pobre de Sancho.” So in the above chapter of Tirante: “El pobre de Tirante tenía muchas llagas.” A similar error uniformly handed down to us: “puso piernas al castillo de su buena mula” (I, 8), read costilla the rib.

As I have minutely and critically collated the first editions of the first and second parts of the years 1605, and 1615, as well as that of 1738, and have noted down their errata, and deviations the one from the other, though I before advanced that the errors of the original are retained, yet have I no reason to suppose the former were always made use of in the publication of the latter: if they were, I have only to add, it was to no good purpose, as will more clearly appear if the notes and collations should see the light. There are several others of the like kind with the above-named, which it is needless at present to point out. Thus much for Pineda in his office as reviser.

Of himself he added various other errors, and with the true spirit of a critic by profession, perverted and obscured what was easy, clear, and perspicuous, amended and corrected much for the worse. The following passage, as it stands in the edition of 1615, II,

---

* [The English antiquarian and editor Thomas Hearne.]
† [Francisco Rico, who praises Bowle’s annotations profusely, points to this as Bowle’s only “enmienda memorable” (ccxviii).]
1, will corroborate what is here asserted. “Mas agora ya triunfa la pereza de la diligencia, la ociosidad del trabajo, el vicio de la virtud, la arrogancia de la valentía, y la teórica de la practica de las armas.” With the absurd punctuation of Pineda’s text ‘tis hardly intelligible: “la pereza, de la diligencia, la ociosidad, del trabajo, el vicio, de la virtud, la arrogancia, de la valentía, y la teórica, &c.” This instance is not single, but it is needless to enlarge.

But he has taken greater liberty, and foisted in his own readings without any authority. Hence we read, II, Prólogo, “función prodigiosa” for “facción prodigiosa.” So II, 17, “replicóle el hidalgo,” while the original is “respondióle.” “Ahora, señor,” dijo don Quijote” or “replicóle” don Quijote.” So again II, 49: “Hola, assidle, y llevadle,” while the original is: “assilde hola, y llevadle.” If an editor takes these unwarrantable liberties of altering the text to his own whim, how shall the reader know whether the text before him be genuine or not?

In what follows it will appear that by his omission of one important word, and by his corrupt and ill-judged reading, he has mutilated and quite spoiled the passage (II, 62): “En comenzando el paseo, llevaba el rétulo los ojos de cuantos venían a verle, y leían: ‘Éste es don Quijote de la Mancha.’ Admirábase don Quijote de ver, &c.” As it stands, and should stand, in the original it is as follows: “y como leían: ‘Éste es D. Q. de la M.’ admirábase D. Q.” “In beginning the walk, the inscription drew the eyes of as many as came to see him, and as they read ‘This is Don Quijote de la Mancha,’ Don Quijote was in astonishment himself to see, &c.” The reading the inscription and, in consequence of it, naming and knowing him, was the source of the Knight’s astonishment, and nothing can be more flat, insipid, and unmeaning, than that they only read it.

How far his arrangement and disposition of the whole was judicious, how far it is to be admitted that he has put the work into good order, will appear from a survey of what he has done, and an inspection into the first editions. If he had made a proper use of them, he never would have given that title that he has done, viz.

† V. T. 2, 281: “Fuera desto,” &c.

† Original text: replicó erroneously for replicó.
“VIDA y Hechos del ingenioso Hidalgo D. Q. The life and actions of the witty gentleman D. Q.” You need not be told that the commencement of the history is not till about his fiftieth year, and that nothing is recorded of him till that period, but his general character. The time of action in which the hero is employed is not quite so obvious, but it is certain it did not exceed ten years. This is to be inferred from the age of the ama, or housekeeper, who at first being named is said to be turned of forty, and who, to enforce her arguments to dissuade her master from turning shepherd at the beginning of his last illness, mentions her being turned of fifty. The Vida then cannot with any propriety be retained, as the history does not contain more than the sixth part of it.

In the plain title of the first edition, the hero of the book is called “El ingenioso hidalgo don Quijote de la Mancha. Compuesto por Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra. Dirigido, &c. Año 1605. En Madrid, por Juan de la Cuesta.” This was divided by the author into four parts, but the chapters, in number fifty-two, are in one sequence. So are those of the second, but the division of that into parts or books, is not to be found there. The Tabla de los capítulos in both is at the end.

Whatever proceeds from the pen of a Cervantes is not to be thrown by as useless, or without good cause to be rejected, which has been the case with the Dedications of both parts. To that of the former to the Duque de Béjar, there is a direct allusion in the Versos de Urganda. The second is a facetious grateful address to his other beneficent patron Don Pedro Fernández de Castro, Conde de Lemos, and is undeservedly consigned to oblivion. The licences, approbations, and censures should be also retained, as they contain many curious particulars respecting the history of the work itself not elsewhere to be had.

As there elapsed ten years betwixt the publication of the first and second parts, it might have been expected that the author should have removed such of the errors of the former part as concerned himself, such as his placing Sancho on his beast in the same chapter just after Ginés de Pasamonte had stolen it, and the misnomer of his wife, whom in the seventh chapter he names Juana Gutiérrez, and a very few lines after, Mari. In the fifty-second chapter, he calls her Juana Panza, “que así se llamaba.”
Here again, the text is arbitrarily altered without any notice to the reader of the change. Teresa is substituted without any authority.

Blundering about words and actions is not a more essential part of Sancho’s character than his happy memory, of which the history affords several facetious instances. “La memoria,” says he, I, 25, “tengo tan mala que muchas veces se me olvida cómo me llamo.” Some excuse this for his calling his wife Teresa, as he does everywhere in the second part. A notable transaction of this kind offers in the next chapter, with his master’s letter to Dulcinea, which affords much pleasure to the curate and the barber.

Uniformity of character is the truest test of genius, and poetical merit. Sancho’s in particular

\[
\text{servetur ad imum,} \\
\text{qualis ab incepto processerit, et sibi constet.}
\]

So II, 43. He tells his master that he neither does nor shall any more remember his counsels, than the last year’s clouds: “no se me acuerda, ni acordara más de ellos, que de las nubes de antaño” (II, 44). Accordingly, though he receives them in writing, II, 44, he drops them, and they come to the hands of the Duke and Duchess.

Enough has been said under this head in some degree to excuse the author. When the counter-Quijote Sansón Carrasco is first introduced, Sancho speaks of him as the son of Bartolomé Carrasco (II, 2). But forgetting himself in another place (II, 28), he makes Thomas Carrasco the father of the Batchelor. I will not take on me to assert that these errors were originally designed, but certainly they are altogether characteristic in the mouth of the person who utters them. As Sancho’s Rucio, less renowned than Rocinante, had led the author into a great mistake, he has with propriety ingenuously admitted the same by putting it into the mouth of his master: that either the historian was deceived, or that

\[\text{Horace, [Ars Poetica, 126–27. “Let it be maintained to the end just as it emerged at the beginning and let it be consistent with itself.” From the translation by Leon Golden, in Horace for Students of Literature: The Ars Poetica and Its Tradition, as reproduced on http://www.cc.emory.edu/ENGLISH/DRAMA/ArsPoetica.html, consulted Jan. 1, 2001.]}\]
it was the carelessness of the printer. In the fourth chapter of the second part he has supplied some defects in the former, turned commentator on himself, and pointed out the use he made of his reading by his alluding to the fact of the noted thief Brunello’s stealing Sacripante’s horse at the siege of Albracca, which was first of all largely related by Boiardo, and afterwards introduced with additions by his happy continuator Ariosto; and these will be inserted in their proper places among my annotations.

**Boiardo and Ariosto**

These two illustrious bards, of whom Italy may justly boast, seem to have been our author’s favourites, particularly the latter. The famed helmet of Mambrino, the property of Rinaldo, and the great object of our knight’s esteem, makes a figure in both. A careful perusal of these, which has given me much pleasure (I wish I could say the same with truth of many others!), and has furnished from the former more than forty elucidations of the text; from the latter perhaps more than double, as there are indisputably many allusions directly pointed to several passages in the *Orlando furioso*, particularly to his armour (I, 13; II, 66), to the discord in Agramante’s camp (I, 45), with many other lesser incidents, which have cost me no small pains to point out. Such are those mentioned I, 25, of the mad knight’s pranks, all of which are specified from the original, and in the same chapter the Hipogrifo of Astolfo, and the renowned Frontino. ’Twas certainly a slip of memory in Cervantes to make Medoro the page of Agramant, which he does I, 26. Dardinello was his master, as appears from Ariosto, in the eighteenth chapter, where he first makes his appearance, stanza 165.

Medoro quivi in tutti i suoi parlar
Non puo far, che’l Signor suo non ramenti
Dardinello d’Almonte.   St. 167.

*The division of the text into books and parts.*

Nor do I find him anywhere connected with Agramante. Such trifles as these are at once to be pardoned and passed over, were it only to comply with the good-natured dictates of Horace—
Many variations in the text necessarily present themselves. The most striking are those in the First Part (for these are unquestionably but two, Cervantes, in the title of the Second, styling himself *autor de su primera parte*) where, at the end of the eighth and beginning of the ninth, and so on, in Pineda’s division of the whole into books, the word *libro* is substituted for *parte*. I do not believe he was the first who made this change. The numbering of the chapters in both is one, no notice being taken of the division. In the second, as there was none primarily, so is there no foundation for any distinction of it into books.

The first editions.

As it is my ultimate wish to have the text pure and genuine, I would spare no pains to effect this. For which purpose the first, printed in Madrid 1605, in quarto, by Juan de la Cuesta, seems to permit the preference. This I have very carefully collated, as I have also that of the second part by the same printer. But there is also another edition of the first part the same year and place, and there were two more, one in Lisbon, in 4to, and in Valencia, in 8vo, the first year of its appearance. These three last have never yet come to my inspection, nor that of Madrid three years after, in 1608, in 4to. These, and any subsequent edition in the life of the author, I should be glad to peruse. As to the second part we have no choice, as there is no reason to apprehend that it underwent more than one impression in the life of the author, which is farther confirmed from this circumstance, that no privilege is specified for the printing it in Aragon and Portugal, as is the case in the first of 1605,† and

† [*Ars Poetica*, 351–53. “But where many qualities sparkle in a poem, I will not find fault with a few blemishes, which either carelessness introduced or human nature, too little vigilant, did not avoid.”]

† [Bowle was the first to detect the two Cuesta editions of 1605, but he had their order reversed. It is of course the second edition of 1605 that has the *privilegios* of Aragón and Portugal.]
it is also certain from the dates of the licenses, that it was not published earlier than November 1615, and he died the twenty-third day of April, the same nominal day as his illustrious contemporary our countryman Shakespeare, who of course survived him but ten days. What age ever produced two such! Take them for all in all, we never shall see their like again.

_Cervantes’ style._

The style of Cervantes merits every encomium. It may be compared to the noblest river, that now rapid runs with proper velocity, now gently glides along, and suffers its crystal current to be tinged with hues, which it receives from the lesser streams that mingle with its waters. To drop the allusion, the language of _Don Quijote_, tho’ the purest and most elegant of the Castilian, has its variations and inequalities, conformable to the persons in whose mouths it is put and to the subjects treated of. The character of the Biscayan is most truly drawn, and with his own confused notions of things he speaks of himself in the absurd idiom of his own country, in the second person: “Así te matas, como estás ahí vizcaíno.” The angry knight, in the violence of his resentment against Sancho, _speaks a leash of languages at once_, and styles him _gañán, faquin, belitre._† It has this in common with ours in _Hudibras_, that many vulgarisms are here and there scattered throughout the whole, which are seldom used by writers, but frequently in conversation. Many of these in our author are collected together by Quevedo in his _Cuento de cuentos_, and are styled _vulgaridades rústicas_. Such as the following: _manos a la obra, quitame allá esas pajitas, hombre de pelo en pecho_, and many others of the like sort, which, as occasion offers, will be pointed out.

_Proverbs._

But there is no end to his proverbial diction. He sets out with

---

† In the seventeenth century Spain followed the Gregorian calendar, which England did not adopt until the eighteenth century. Thus the calendars of England and Spain were separated by ten days. Shakespeare and Cervantes died on different days, even though in both countries the day was April 23.

I, 30. The first Spanish, second Italian, third French.
it, and where there seemed to be no reason to expect it there I have discovered it, so that I may make use of one of Sancho’s, and apply it to this purpose: “dónde no piensa salta la liebre” (II, 10). “Una olla de algo más vaca que carnero” is certainly of this kind. “Dice un proverbio: ‘Vaca y carnero, olla de caballero.’” This is quoted by Covarrubias. In conformity to a direction of this kind, Carrasco’s squire, Thomas Cecial, acted when he treated his brother Sancho: “volvió con una gran bota de vino que traía pendiente del arzón de su caballo” (II, 13). “No vayas sin bota camino,” was an old adage, “y cuando fueres no la lleves sin vino.”

The annotations.

The explanation of the text is the principal aim of the annotations, and they will serve not only to enumerate many places in history, whether of the real or ideal kind. (I say many, not all, for I stumble in my outset, and in all my searches have discovered nothing of Gonela, and his horse who tantum pellis & ossa fuit). Many customs peculiar to the Spanish nation, mentioned by our author, will appear from the evidence of other writers, and whatever tends to facilitate the acquisition of an acquaintance with the Spanish phraseology and idioms must be of great utility. Betwixt this and the Italian a correspondence will be pointed out, both languages having some phrases in common with each other. Though the Florentine and Castilian diction are in many respects equally pompous, yet I cannot but subscribe to the testimony of don Gregorio Mayáns of his own, that it is superior to any other in the magnificence of its expressions. ‘Tis this that distinguishes it from any other European tongue. “Lo majestuoso de las voces le da gravedad y peso”; “the majestic of the expressions gives to it gravity and weight.” Twas this that induced Cardinal Bentivoglio, in one of his letters to Toby Matthews, to give a singular opinion

1 Comendador griego en sus refranes, f. 80.
2 [Don Quijote, I, 1.]
3 Ensayos oratorios (Madrid, 1739), p. 182.
4 “Discurso proemial,” al Diccionario de Madrid. How pitiful in sound is this translation! Yet it is literal. [By Diccionario de Madrid Bowle means the work we know as the Diccionario de autoridades.]
of it. “Son valente uomini veramente gli spagnuoli nelle composizioni spirituali, e non so come la lingua ancora porta con se maggior peso con la sua gravita per imprimer le cose.”

The state of the language when the author wrote.

But to take leave of the text for the present, in the revisal of it several matters are to be considered, and what other editors have done may be worth attending to. And here the state of the language when the author wrote must be duly weighted and given, not agreeable to modern refinements, but exactly as it may be supposed to have originally fell from the pen. If the author was in fault, let him be blamed. If alterations are once admitted without unanswerable objections to them, there will be no end of alterations.

I did not, in my first notions of this matter, by any means propose to myself Nicola Francesco Haym as a pattern, but looking casually into his address “Al lettore” in the elegant edition of the Gerusalemme liberata of Tasso by Tonson, it gives me pleasure to find that my plan is conformable to his as to the selection of the first edition being the ground-work of a subsequent one. “Ho usate tale diligenze”: I have taken the same pains in collating. In a word, I hope I shall be excused if I make use of a long quotation from him, as it expresses my own sentiments and intentions.

“Ho giudicato a proposito di seguire la medesima ortografia di prima, senza farvi alcuna alterazione; e con tanta osservanza, che vi ho fin lasciato quelle incostanze che vi erano; trovandovi alcuna volte notato, cavaglier e cavalier, e così parimente herme e erme, hermita suo derivato ad eremita, e molte altre simili; non perché io stami lodevole questo vacillante modo di scrivere, o perché io sprezzo la moderna ortografia, seguita presentemente da’ più gran letterati d’Italia; ma perché con l’incostanze si comprova ciò che nella vita del Tasso dice il marchese Manso, cioè che il detto poema fosse in più volte

* “The Spaniards are truly men of worth in their spiritual compositions, and I don’t know how it is, their tongue also carries with it greater weight with its gravity to impress things.”
To apply this to our purpose, it will hardly be denied that most languages undergo some changes in their orthography or spelling in the course of a century or two in their approach to a state of maturity. This was the case of Cervantes, who found his native tongue in that state which preceded its meridian, to which it seems he was destined to bring it.

"I have judged it proper to follow the same orthography of the first, without making any alteration, and with such strict observance that I have even left those unsettled points that were there, as I have found them noted, cavagliero and cavaliero, and so in like manner Herme and Erme, heremita its derivative and eremita, and many others alike, not because I despised the modern orthography, followed at present by the most learned of Italy, but because by the unsettled state that is proved with it, which is related by the Marchese Manso in his life of Tasso, which is, that the said poem had been several times published, and that the revisal of his works which he so much desired was denied him. In truth, a cruel matter. Besides this I could not, in so doing, be blamed for having taken too great liberty, nor could I be reproved for having so left it, since I do not pretend to anything else but to give an exact copy, except in those places where, as I have said, the errors make another sense, and that it was most necessary to change them. Who is he, that does not more esteem a picture of Raphael or of Titian untouched, though in some places unfinished or incorrect, than if in those same places it had been corrected and finished by another, although most able hand? If therefore the works of great painters are so esteemed when they remain untouched, as they came exactly from their hands, why should not the same regard be had for writers?"
As he retained many antiquated words, he did the same with its orthography also. Thus we read “sin el hornato de prólogo” (I, prólogo); “el sentido del holfato” (I, 20); “y haspado hilo” (II, 28); “ha entender” (II, 27); “hallá te avengas” (II, 57); “con las hancas de” (II, 58). In the old Spanish, the $h$ is frequently redundant. “Fueron lo $ha$ buscar, y él s’escondía” (Espinosa C. 27. St. 112.) “Los pechos y el hombligo travessava” (ibid., C. 31. St. 43). “Cudicia rompe el saco” (Don Quijote, I, 20). In Luis Barahona de Soto, we read “A la crueldad levantan la cundicia” (C. 9. St. 33), “Buscando su tesoro el cudicioso,” ib.).

Editorial principles

I will instance but one more example on this dry subject, which is C. 12. St. 65. The word monesterio, which is notoriously wrong when compared with its origin, but which is so written in the old writers, and by the critical Covarrubias. Custom in most languages sometimes gets the better, and can hardly be set aside without affectation. These which are here produced may serve to confirm an observation of the learned Monsieur de S. Palaye, that such instances should teach the most knowing editors that they always hazard much in changing the text of authors without necessity, and without precaution. They ought at least to present them such as they have read them, with the most scrupulous fidelity; they may afterwards more hardly propose their own conjectures. He must be very ignorant of the Castilian who wants to be informed, that it abhors the use of double consonants; thus Apollo is always Apolo, Palladium, Paladión, Pallas, Palas, &c. When this rule was finally settled, ’tis not quite certain; in our author’s time, it was not. I have found the $s$ redundant in the spelling of Luis Barahona de Soto in his Poem of Angélica in these words—confussión, ossa, osso, pissada, dessiertas, and several others. Whether this came from the author or composer of the press, custom must be some plea of excuse for the same in Cervantes.

Where to put the annotations.

But the text being duly settled agreeable to the original edi-

* Mémoires sur Chevalerie, Notes sur la IV Partie.
tions, the placing the notes and commentary will come of course to be next of all considered. And here I must in some degree plead an exclusive power of doing this by virtue of my index—“y dejad-me a mí el cargo de poner las anotaciones y acotaciones.” It must frequently happen that a writer must use one word in a very different sense; an explanation then of the same may be totally useless in one, and altogether pertinent in another. To give a clear idea of what is here advanced, let us take the word altibajos, which is used in three places by Cervantes: “No ay historia humana en el mundo, que no tenga sus altibajos” (II, 3). “There is no human history in the world that has not its ups and downs” (probably an allusion to Sancho’s capering in the blanket, which produces the reflexion), its inequalities. “Altibajo,” says Covarrubias, “se toma algunas veces por la desigualdad que el hombre inconstante y vario suele tener en sus acciones y modo de proceder.” In the two other places, II, 19 and II, 26, the original meaning—“el golpe que se da derecho de la cabeza a los pies”—is retained, in the former the metaphorical.

The indexes.

It has frequently happened in my searches, that one quotation has pertinently explained two distant passages. Thanks to my first labour of the indexes, this has been effected at my leisure in a few minutes. Without them much time might have been expended to no purpose, as the search might have proved unsuccessful, though there were a full and clear conviction that such a correspondence did exist somewhere, but which there was no possibility of turning to.

In confirmation of what is here observed, take the following annotation on una villana de Sayago to which Dulcinea was changed (II, 32). “Saco es una vestidura vil de que usan los serranos y gente muy bárbara, Lat. sagum, que vale lo mismo que sayal, por ser la tela de que se hace el saco. En tierra de Zamora hay cierta gente que llaman sayagueses y al territorio, tierra de sayago, por vestirse desta tela vasta.” I should have been much obliged to Covarrubias, if he had made me as well acquainted with the yangüeses, as he has.

* V. II, 19.
with these, and with several customs of his country that fall within
the time of my enquiries.

It will be proper to say something of the indexes and the
annexed specimens. Those which contain the names of men,
countries, cities, &c. &c., must be of general utility for several
purposes, and particularly for referring to preceding passages.
Thus the author (II, 8) tells his readers that the adventures of the
hero in his third sally, begin in the way to Toboso, as the former
did in the plains of Montiel. I will suppose that many of his read-
ers, regardless of his directions to forget the past adventures of the
Knight—“se les olviden las pasadas caballerías de el ingenioso
hidalgo”—to have actually done so, but wish to turn to the particu-
lar passage; this then by the index may be done in a minute.
Allusions to past facts occur in almost every chapter, nay some-
times in several places in one and the same, particularly the prom-
pise of the island, which was a part of the original plan, in the
seventh chapter of the first part.

But the references which everywhere abound, and which for
the purpose of profitable reading can hardly be obtained but by
the aid of the indexes, must be a necessary part of the editorial
labours; but this is not to be effected by these only, but by frequent
reading. This more immediately respects lesser matters; the names
of men and places may be very easily turned to, and discovered
without any labourious search. A proper selection from the
Indexes
de palabras
in such a manner as to discover the concording pas-
sages, the remarkable facts, and the principal transactions through-
out the whole of the work, cannot fail to be of use in assisting the
diligent, the careful and attentive reader.

My goal: to display Cervantes’ genius

As my aim and intentions, from uncommon labour and perse-
verance in the whole of this undertaking, are to display the merits,
to elucidate a writer who of all the moderns has attracted the most
general estimation, and who, the more he is known, must be more
generally the object of admiration, whether we consider the
wonderful extent of his genius (of which luckily no one was ever
a more competent judge than himself, by his having set due
bounds to it, as is apparent from his happy conclusion of the
history), or the pleasing facetious manner in which he has conveyed to mankind those excellent lessons of morality, so I must observe, that the indexes of the proper names will admit of no curtailing or abridgment, for under the names of the knight or squire, their principal actions are pointed out. The same is done with respect to every other person, place, river, or other notable particular, nor amidst these are Rocinante's feats left unrecorded, nor his intimacy with Sancho's Rucio.

Motivating himself to finish the indexes

The man who is at the pains of making indexes, says the Bishop of Bristol in the preface to his Milton, is really to be pitied; but of their great utility there is no need to say anything. I can from experience bear testimony to the former part of what is here advanced. He must be steeled with seven-fold patience, and endued with a still larger portion of perseverance, who finishes what he begins in a work of this kind, and without that happy period, he is wasting time and labour to no purpose. Duly impressed with this truth, that the sole worth of the whole depended on the finishing what I had began, I used art and stratagem to impel myself on the completion of that, which, had it been proposed to me against my inclinations, I should have resisted with my utmost efforts. But my love and veneration for this author, whose every new reading still brings new pleasure, and discovers latent beauties that have eluded my former surveys, induced me to undertake that for him, which the editors of the Dauphin Classics did in their several departments under the auspices and patronage of the Duke of Montausier.

Books read so as to help understand Cervantes' text.

If my patience in this undertaking was many times fatigued by an uncommon exercise of it, another still more painful, namely that of reading, has at times quite overpowered it. To read over bulky volumes where there is scarce anything to instruct or amuse, which is for the most part the case of the libros de caballerías or romances, and many of them printed with types scarce legible, with numerous inconveniences to be encountered in travelling through these forests and enchantments drear, must quell the best
formed resolutions. If the four first books of *Amadís de Gaula*, *Tirante el Blanco* among the Spaniards, Boiardo and Ariosto among the Italians, have furnished out some amusement independent of my searches, *Felixmarte of Hircania*, *Palmerín de Oliva*, Maestro Elizabet with his *Sergas de Esplandián*, I was about to add Pulci in his *Morgante maggiore*, but surely Alamanni in his *Girone il Cortese*, have in many places overpowered mine. Nothing could have urged me on to the perusal of such writers, but the view of tracing out the knight in his pursuits, and success has in many instances attended my endeavours.

I should never have engaged in the reading of these books but with a view to the present purpose, as it is with me most certain that, if a greater genius than Cervantes had arisen and exerted his talents in defence of them by a greater fund of irony, they would inevitably have sunk into the darkest oblivion, and been left to perish with the detestable Avellaneda and the poor poet Antonio de lo Frasso. What but the rarity of the *Fortuna de amor* could induce Pineda to reprint *tan disparatado libro*, and to induce him to think Cervantes in earnest in his high-strained commendations? He seems rather to have made him the butt of his ridicule, and to have treated him as a second Querno. In his *Viaje del Parnaso*, a poem of very singular merit, to appease the turbulent waves betwixt Scylla and Charybdis, he is for throwing him overboard, but he is saved by the interposition of Mercury.

Hallaron a Lofraso
Poeta militar, sardo, que estaba
Desmayado a un rincón, marchito y laso…
Gritó la chusma toda, “al mar se arroje,
Vaya Lofraso al mar sin resistencia.”
“Por Dios,” dijo Mercurio, “que me enoje.” CAP. TERCERO.

* Camillo Querno, of Apulia, hearing that Leo X. was a great patron of poets, went to Rome with a harp in his hand, and sang his *Alexías*, a poem containing 20,000 verses. He was introduced to the Pope as a buffoon, but was promoted to the laurel.” (E. Cobham Brewer, *Dictionary of Phrase and Fable*, 1898, as posted on www.bartleby.com, consulted 26 December 2000.]
Don Nicolás Antonio, forming his judgment of him from hence, styles him *Poeta inﬁni subselii*. The painful labour of reading these sort of authors is the commentator’s merit, as it is ever a great advantage that some one should take such pains for the information of others.’

The need for a map.

When the text of the author selected for illustration is imprinted in the memory, so that the resembling passages may be brought together, the comment may go on with success; without it the allusions cannot be brought to light. An undertaking of this kind must be a work of time, and cannot by any means be completed in haste, for many very obvious reasons. But one thing more offers. As some of the editors of the Dauphin Classics adorned their works with ideal maps of the travels of their heroes, so our knight’s adventures being all within the limits of his own country, a map of Spain, adapted to his history, must be not barely an ornament, but of apparent utility, as there are more than a hundred names of provinces, cities, mountains, rivers, and the like mentioned in it. Such a one, executed with proper references to the parts of the work where they are named, seems to offer a large fund of amusement. But it will be in vain to look for the Duke’s territories, or the famous island of Barataria in the present attempt, which is so ordered as to serve every book, whether original or translated.

Division into volumes. His chief goal, “a genuine and correct text.”

As to the idea of a future edition, the originals before-mentioned being to serve as the ground-work of the superstructure, it is to be observed, the text will necessarily be included in two

\[\text{\textquotedblleft Such are the sentiments of Monsieur de S. Palaye, \textit{Mémoire concernant la lecture des anciens romans de chevalerie} [included in \textit{Mémoires sur l’ancienne chevalerie}], 134, 5. He expresses himself much to the same purpose in a former work: \textit{\textquoteright\textquoteright} On ne saurait rien faire de plus utile aux gens de lettres, que de les affranchir de la nécessité de faire une infinité de lectures, dont les écrivains exacts ne croient pas pouvoir se dispenser, et dont ils ne tirent souvent d’autre avantage que d’en bien connaître toute l’inutilité.\textquoteright\textquoteright\ (\textit{Mémoires de l’Académie des Inscriptions}, vol. 13, p. 527.)}\]
tomes; the comment, with the various readings and index, would make two more. A genuine and correct text, which I have never yet met with in any modern (for several, for more than a century past, have been mutilated and expurgated), would be my chief and principal aim. Nor would I be negligent in attention to the beauty of the impression; this should correspond to the former, though it be a secondary consideration. To publish new and correct editions of the works of approved authors, says the Bishop of Bristol, has ever been esteemed a service to learning, and an employment worthy of men of learning. It is not material whether the author is ancient or modern.

*Cervantes, a chaste author.*

If chastity of manners be any test of approbation, Cervantes must be ever esteemed. He has in his great work proved the truth of his own observation, “Si el poeta fuere casto en sus costumbres, lo será también en sus versos; la pluma es lengua del alma” (II, 16). In a word, I must bear testimony to his own observation of his history, that it has not an immoral expression, nor any sentiment but what it is Catholic: “porque en toda ella no se descubre, ni por semejas, una palabra deshonesta, ni un pensamiento menos que católico.” A satisfaction this, that I have not employed my time on a work unworthy of notice.

*The need to read Cervantes in the original language.*

The true characteristic of ignorance and ill-nature is to decry and undervalue the labours of any man where they tend to any useful purpose. I shall apply to my own intentions what your friend Mr. Warton has said of Shakespeare: “If Cervantes is worth reading, he is worth explaining; and the researches used for so valuable and elegant a purpose, merit the thanks of genius and candour, not the satire of prejudice and ignorance.” That he is worth reading, is evident from his being read by all with pleasure; they have the smallest share of it who use the dark glass of a translation, those the highest, who enter into the spirit of the original. They who are versed in languages cannot but know the

* [Thomas Warton], *Observations on Spenser*, vol. 2, p. 265.
possibility of fully comprehending the import and meaning of words, and yet find themselves utterly unqualified to express themselves properly in their own. What Voltaire says of Hudibras, that it is *intraduisible*, is applicable to almost every original composition of wit, genius, and humour.

*A Spanish genius and citizen of the world.*

I flatter myself that my wonted perseverance will not fail me in adapting what I have already done to the use of a new edition, and that my zeal for the author will urge me on to the completion of such. At the same time I possess the highest reverence and esteem for that country which has produced so wonderful a genius, I can find no excuse for Father Feijoo’s total silence of his name in his *Glorias de España*, of which he was so great an ornament. He was an honour not only to his country but to mankind, for I am certain from his writings, that of the two, his great genius and abilities were inferior to the goodness and honesty of the man. He is therefore to be regarded as a citizen of the world, and all have an interest of him.

*Conclusion: Don Quijote needs a coment.*

In this state of things, I flatter myself with some hopes of accomplishing what I have begun, not from the novelty, but from the universality of my plan. I hope I have said enough to make my scheme known, and shall think myself happy with your future assistance and concurrence. I shall finish what I have at present to offer nearly in the words of doctor Alphonso Villadiego in his *Advertencias* to the edition of the *Fuero juzgo*, with some slight variation: “He comentado este libro con mucho cuidado y diligen-cia, empleando en ello muchos años de estudio, y revolviendo muchos libros, pasando muchos trabajos, para ponerlo en punto. Por lo menos merezco loa por haber intentado y salido con cosa tan deseada, como lo es este libro, y por haber caminado por donde nadie hasta hoy ha caminado, que es una de las mayores dificultades que he tenido, en comentar y salir con esta obra. Y así ya que no se me agradezca, no es razón haya desagradecimiento de murmuración, considerando que aunque mi ingenio y erudi-ción no sea tanto como al parecer requirió obra tan singular y
peregrina, el mucho tiempo, estudio y trabajo y gran diligencia mía podrá haberlo suplido, especialmente que no hay que agradecer al que diere crédito y no a mí, pues no callo ninguno dellos, alegando fielmente a cada uno en su lugar.” I have only to add that Cervantes was himself sensible that his history would need a comment, and has told us as much by the mouth of his hero, “que tendrá necesidad de comento para entenderla” (II, 3).

I am,
With Great Respect,
Your much obliged
and obedient Servant,

JOHN BOWLE.

Idemestone,†
April 18, 1776.

† Advertencias al Fuero juzgo (Madrid, 1600).
† [Idmiston, Wiltshire.]
POSTSCRIPT.

Don Quijote and Ignacio de Loyola.

Don Gregorio Mayáns, in his Life of Cervantes, informs us that some persons have been so capricious as to suppose that the author meant to represent the Emperor Charles the Fifth, whilst others, without any the least grounds, were of opinion that he designed the Cardinal Duke of Lerma as the object of his satire. Amidst the uncertainty of guesses, if I am not peremptory and dogmatical, you will with your wonted candour receive my reveries and conjecture, that Ignacio Loyola might have been pitched upon by the author, as a person worthy of distinguished notice from him. In a word, it has been justly remarked of him by a late French writer, that he was as famous in his spiritual knighthood as his illustrious countryman Don Quijote was in his quest of adventures.

This is not the idle flourish of a Frenchman’s pen, but is fairly deducible from Rivadeneira’s account of him, from a fair and candid examination of which a just parallel betwixt both may be formed. We find Loyola in the earlier part of his life extravagantly fond of romances: “muy curioso y amigo de leer libros profanos de caballerías.” These he changed for the lives of saints commonly called Flos sanctorum, which he read with that zeal that he determined at once to imitate, and put in practice what he read: “y a querer imitar y obrar lo que leía.” Just in the same manner our knight resolved to imitate as far as to him appeared possible the passages which he had read in his books: “imitar en todo cuanto a él le parecía posible los pasos, que había leído en sus libros” (I, 4). The impulse from his first reading so much affected Loyola that he still blended his romances with his pious institute, and as a new knight of Christ, in strict conformity to the practice of his brethren.

* [Pierre Quesnel], Histoire de l’admirable Dom Inigo de Guipuscoa (La Haya, 1736), II, 12.
The conduct of Loyola was in several instances truly quixotic, as will appear by comparing the several historians. As he was travelling to Monserrate, he happened to meet with a Moor who spoke rather irreverently of the Holy Virgin, and who got the start of him in his journey. He was much perplexed with his past conversation with him, and was in doubt whether he should not pursue him, and stab him for what he had said, but at length, "después de haber buen rato pensado en ello, al fin se determinó de seguir su camino hasta una encrucijada, de donde se partía el camino, y allí soltar la rienda a la cabalgadura en que iba." Just in the same manner Quijote, after his pleasing self-delusion upon his supposed delivery of the boy Andrew, "llegó a un camino que en cuatro se dividía, y luego se le vino a la imaginación las encrucijadas, donde los caballeros andantes se ponían a pensar cuál camino de aquéllos tomarían; y por imitarlos estuvo un rato quedó, y al cabo de haberlo muy bien pensado, soltó la rienda a Rocinante."

It were very easy to pursue the similar behaviour of both these worthies in this particular; but let us see how far the heroism of the former was of a piece with the doctrine delivered by our knight respecting the great article of complaints from pain occasioned by wounds, that knights-errant are not to complain of any wound, though their guts come through it: "no es dado a los caballeros andantes quejarse de herida alguna, aunque se les salgan las tripas por ella" (I, 8). The wounding of Loyola at the siege of Pampeluna in his legs is a fact well known, but his undergoing the operation of having his leg broke again from the unskilfulness of his surgeons, is not so: "Porque ni mudó color, ni gimió, ni suspiró, ni hubo siquiera un ay, ni dijo palabra que mostrase flaqueza" (Rivadeneira, Vida, cap. 1). He showed the same courage when he submitted to a voluntary excruciating torment of the amputation.
of a bone that he might wear his boot genteelly. This indeed was
previous to his conversion.

On this event his character is complete; what the old Marques
of Mantua resolved on—“de nunca peinar mis canas, ni las barbas
me tocaren,” which Sancho told his master he had related to him to
Dulcinea, I, 31, “y sin peinar su cabellera”—the same did Loyola,
and let his nails grow too. One would be almost induced to think
this last circumstance the particular object of Cervantes’ ridicule,
as among the other whimsical counsels to Sancho, one is to cut his
nails, and not suffer them to grow—“que te cortes las uñas, sin
dejarlas crecer”—which particulars are carefully related of him by
his pupil the historian above-mentioned: “y el cabello traía desgreñado y por peinar, y con el menosprecio de sí dejó crecer las
uñas y barba; que así suene Nuestro Señor trocar los corazones a los
que trae a su servicio, y con la nueva luz que les da, les hace ver las
cosas como son, y no como primero les parecían.” To deny man the
use of those senses which God gave him is somewhat truly
quixotic; ’tis substituting fancy and imagination in the place of that
evidence which alone is to be relied on, from a due use and
exertion of them. The visionary enthusiast may give into the belief
of every absurdity, bewilder himself with his own strange notions,
“y ponerse en un laberinto de imaginaciones,” because he will not
believe his own eyes, as was the case with the knight and Carrasco
(II, 14).

In forming parallels, matters may possibly be carried too far.
But the treatment of Loyola in one instance greatly resembles that
of Quijote on his delivery from the cuadrilleros, or troopers, by the
curate (I, 46). On his return home to Spain from Jerusalem, he
chanced to be travelling in Lombardy, and to come to a town
besieged by his countrymen, to whom he appeared as one out of
his senses, and the captain before he was brought was violently
enraged with the soldiers who had taken him as a spy, rating them
and telling them they were fools enough themselves for bringing
hither a fool, and immediately ordered them to take him away and
thrust him out. The soldiers, provoked with this treatment of their
captain, vented their resentment on the poor pilgrim, giving him
much ill language and loading him with kicks and cuffs. He used
afterwards to relate that he then thought upon the insults and
mocks offered to Christ by Herod and the soldiers, which was admirable comfort to him.

His project. The text and notes.

But, to drop this subject, and to come to the proposed work. In what is past I have all along insisted on a correct text. This naturally requires an explanation of difficult passages, which makes a principal part of the notes, and these, besides pointing out the historical and other references, will in various places show a propriety in the original absolutely untransferable into any other language. From the collations of the original, and the London edition of 1738, I was naturally led to reflect on the remissness or want of skill in Pineda, and in so doing, I think myself justifiable by the conduct of the judicious editor of the *Canterbury Tales* of Chaucer. “The strange license,” says he, “in which Mr. Urrey appears to have indulged himself, of lengthening and shortening Chaucer’s words according to his own fancy, and of even adding words of his own, *without giving the readers the least notice*, has made the text of Chaucer in his edition by far the worst that was ever published.”

The danger of superfluous “corrections.”

To what I had said respecting the language of Cervantes, I have this to add, that he has uniformly given a peculiar diction to Sancho, and to correct his mistakes is an egregious error. This Pineda did, for I find this reading in no edition before his, in the following passage where Sancho is recollecting himself, and where his repetitions have undoubted merit. “‘Así es,’ dijo Sancho. ‘Luego, si mal no me acuerdo, proseguía, *si mal no me acuerdo*,” el llegado, y falto de sueño y el ferido besa a V. M. las manos.”” In his edition it stands thus: “‘Así es,’ dijo Sancho. ‘Luego, si mal no me acuerdo proseguía: “El llegado, y falto de sueño, y el ferido besa a V. M. las manos.””† Such arbitrary innovations in the text show a

---

1 Rivadeneira, *Vida*, Libro I, cap. 12.
3 C. 26. So before C. 24. His reading is—(si fuera menester) buscarle—while the original is—y si fuera menester buscarle, buscarle con la diligencia posible.
want of taste in the editor, and must disgust the curious reader.

A sample of the index.

I have only to add that the several words explained, which constitute the glossary, will be found in their respective places among the annotations, and where any one is frequently used, it may be easily found in the general indexes; specimens of which are here annexed.

As to these, as they give an exact idea of the whole, I am to observe that the paging corresponds with the original MS.; that the * after the name shows the word to be used in both parts. See Quiñones, Quintanar, Quintaña, &c.: The * after the page to be a part of the head of the chapter. See Quijote, C. 1. C. 2.—The stroke—between the lines indicates a repetition of the word:—preceding a name a different person of the same. See Quijada. C. Cavallero, Ca. Cavalleria, Cas. Cavallerías. P. 1. Primera Parte P. 2. Segunda parte. The passage in italics shows it to be verse. See P. 2. Libia, Loja, Renca.

The article Quijote, besides what is here produced, consists of eleven pages—the second follows immediately the former, so that an exact summary is formed of every chapter in both parts. Sancho’s in like manner is between eight and nine.
QUE INDICE A QUIXOTE, P. 173.

QUADRILLEROS LOS, C. 16. 35
un de los que llaman de la Santa Hermandad de Toledo, 36. C. 17-38
acabó de ocurrencia el canto, 39 largo
mí, 40-1. C. 43. 51. que tenía
parecer de fer, 2-4 no deriva el —y
los demás —y arremetieron a los, 55. 6 le
defeagaron, 7 verdad cuanto el descal,
58 ladrones en quadrilla que no, 59
quincecientos palos a quincecientos, C.
40-6. Fin a la Avistad de * el Cura es-
taba perdiendo que Q. era falso de
juegos, 66 juntamente con el ventero,
C. 47-72. El Cura se avisó conciertado
con confusión espejaba a dos lados del car-
no. & 73. 5. —falegás de —. C. 47. 74
llaves. C. 47. 50 faltaban de ganó, 3 con
sus ballestas, 25 no quisieron paz
Adelante.

Queda C. 1. 2 sobre nombre 3, 6 y no.
Quinones * Sutorios. C. 49. 500 juliás de.
—P. 2. C. 60. 78. y Doña Guillermina de—
-Doña Mencheta de. C. 51. 96.
C. 45-55 ganadero del.
Quintanilla. * C. 12. 95 tan honrada
Dáma. C. 16. 32 Con Fe Dama. C. 49.
09 Hidalgo—separada a la Dáma.
P. 2. C. 24. 18 y efusando via a
Lanzarote.

Quinta. C. 18. 74 gran Duque de.
Quirza. C. 1. 4 sobre nombre de, 6 se
devia de llamar. C. 5. 33 Señor, de
a 16-16 el herido Hidalgo del—Gui-
tiere de. C. 40. 300 y.

Quexa. C. 1. 2 que se llamava Don

QUIXOTE DON DE LA MANCHA. *
C. 1. 1 Condición y Ejercicios del fa-
moso Hidalgo 2 * sífivamente la edad de

The proper names in the Indexes to
both Parts are in 75 pages, answering
to the London edition.

hidalgo con los cincuentas afán—fe
da a leer libros de Can—y allí llevar
a su caña todos quantos pudo aver de-
los. 3 perdió el pobre C, el juego—
huvo competencia con el Cura de la
lugar—se enraízó en su letra, 4 le
pareció conveniente y necesitiero hacer fe
—C. A. 5 primero que hizo fue limpiar
unas armas, 6 llamó su cañuelo Rosin-
nante—dama D. Q. de la M, y
llamó Fe Dama Dolorosa del Toledo.

C. 2. ella trata de la primera falta de su
tierra. * le asaltó un terrible penes-
miento que no era armado C—propuesto
de haverlo armar C. del primero que
-trapos, 9 iva hablando confejo la flia-
mano Avistaduero; 10 llegó a la venta
a tiempo que alcoycía.

C. 3. 15. Gracias manera que tuvo en
armarse C. * 19 recogiendo todas las
armas, las puso sobre una pila, 20 de-
rito al hidalgo—hizo más de tres la
-cabeza del leguado, 21 el ventero de-
termina dar le la negra orden de Ca.
C. 4. 23 Déjase el agrario de Andres
—deforbo los mercaderes Toledo, 30
cayo Rosinante y fue rodando por
campos; 31 mano de malas le medic.
C. 5. 32 llegó a el el Labrador Pedro
Alonso, 3 el sol y en el barrendero de
C. 6. 32 toda via dormia.

C. 7. 46 comenzó a dar voces, 7 fue ir
a ver esos libros, andaba buscando el
apoñente donde le avisó desvano, 49 ofi-
licio Sancho Panza, qué determinó
ferbida de Esfendio, 50 avió la del
dia que pensaba ponerle en camino—
fe faltaron del lugar-fin que persona
los vien.

The verbal Index to the first Part
makes 202 pages. To the second 353:
both together in 2 Tom. 690.
CARTA DE ESPAÑA,
ACOMODADA A LA HISTORIA
DE
DON QUIXOTE.

AGUILAR. C. 39. 72. 3. 15—38.
Alcalá. 29. 27. F. 14—38.
Alcántara. 49. 301. 13—40.
Alcarria. 4. 30. 1. G—II—41.
Alcovechas. 19. 65. 15—44.
Algarve. 18. 52. en Portugal.
Alcante. 39. 66—19—38.
Aljafreza. 2. 26. 43. v. Zaragoza.
Almodóvar del Campo. 23. 17.
29. 2. 47. 17. 15—39.
Alva. 41—13.
Andalucía. 18.
Antequera. 3. 23. 53. 53. 6—15.
13.
Aragón.
Aranjuez. 52. 30. 1. 15—40.
Arenas. 16. 31. 14—41.
Argamasilla. 52. 29. 2. 14—39.
Arlanza. Rio. 2. 44. 66. 15—
43.
Asturias. 2. 48. 30. Prov.

Azpeitia. 9. 67. 16—44.
BAECA. 19. 65. 16—38.
Barcelona. P. 2da. Cs. 3. 59.
60. 1. 6. 72.
Betis el olivífero, aora Guadalquivir. 14—6. 18. 53.
Bexar. v. xi. 2. 12—41.
Cabra. v. Sima de.
CADIZ. 2. 29. 71. 13—37.
Calatrava. 49. 301. 15—39.
Cantillana. 2. 49. 38. 14—38.
Caracuel. 2. 47. 17. 15—39.
Carpio. 14—42.
Cartagena. 49. 97. 2. 24. 26. 18—
38.
Castilla. Nueva. Vieja. 2. 74. 67.
Cataluña. 13. 100. Pro.
Ciudad Real. 2. 13. 12. 47. 21—
15—39.
Complutum el gran, aora Alcalá de Henares. 29. 32—15—
41.
Córdoba.
The Latitude and Longitude agreeable to the Spanish Maps in general.

It may not be amiss to add a Translation of some Places in the above Map of Spain adapted to the History, for the Benefit of the English Reader.

The Olive-bearing Betis, now called the Guadalquivir.
Cádiz New and Old.
The Great Complute, now Alcalá de Henares, the Birthplace of Cervantes.
Ebro River in Aragon.
Gaeta. Qu. if in Spain?
The Strait of Gibraltar.

The Winding Guadiana.
Monjuy. Fort at Barcelona.
Quintanar, near Toledo.
The Tarrefian Fields. From the Authority of the learned Alcere, those of Andalucia.
Zulema, a Hill in the Neighbourhood of Alcalá de Henares.

INDEX.
A LIST of the Romances which have in numerous Instances illustrated the Text of Don Quixote.

Muchas, y muy graves Historias he yo leido de Cavalleros Andantes.

D. Q. P. 1. C. 47.

CRYONE. il Corte de Luigi Alamanni.
Amadís de Grecia. en Lisboa. 1596. F.
Orlando Furioso di Ariosto.
Don Quixote por Avellaneda. en Madrid. 1732. 4.
Angelica por Luis Barahona de Soto. en Granada. 1586. 4.
Don Belianis de Grecia. 3 & 4 partes. Burgos. 1597. F.
Hazañas de Bernaldo del Carpio. en Toledo. 1585. 4.
Orlando Innamorato di Boiardo.
Innamoramento del Re Carlo. en Venetia. 1556. 8vo.
Las Sergas de Esplandian. en Zaragoza. 1587. F.
Espacio de Cavallerias. Medina del Campo. 1586. F.
Segunda Parte de Orlando por Nicolas Espinosa. en Amers. 1556. 4to.
Cavallero del Febo. Ts. 3. Barcelona. 1583. Zaragoza. 1683. F.
Felix Marte de Yrcania. en Valladolid. 1557. Fol.
Olivante de Laura. en Barcelona. 1564. F.
Palmerín de Cliva. en Toledo. 1580. F.
Don Polindo. en Toledo. 1526. F.
Los tres Libros de Primateleon. en Venecia. 1534. F.
Il Morgante Maggiore di Pulci.
Tirante el Blanco. en Valladolid. 1511. F.
La Hesiodura de Angelica. por Lope de Vega. en Barcelona. 1604. Svo.
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